

Subject: Cochlear Implants

Background: Cochlear implants are surgically implanted prosthetic devices that use electrical stimulation to provide hearing. The criteria for implantation includes moderate to severe bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and an individual who still struggles to hear and understand despite appropriately fit hearing aids. The goal of cochlear implantation is to restore hearing by converting received sounds into electrical impulses that stimulate the auditory nerve, thereby performing the function normally performed by cochlear hair cells.

Bone-anchored hearing aids (BAHAs) are surgically implanted hearing devices that transmit sound directly to the inner ear through bone, bypassing the external auditory canal and middle ear. A titanium screw implanted into the skull allows removable coupling of the sound processor to the bone. BAHAs are intended to improve hearing acuity in individuals who have moderate to severe conductive or mixed hearing loss.

Authorization:

Prior authorization is required for unilateral, bilateral and hybrid implants requested for members enrolled in commercial (HMO, POS, and PPO) products.

Policy and Coverage Criteria:**Unilateral or Bilateral Implants for Adults**

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers unilateral or bilateral U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cochlear implants as reasonable and medically necessary for individuals age 18 years or older when documentation confirms ALL the following criteria:

- Member has pre- or post-lingual hearing loss and has limited benefit from hearing (or vibrotactile) aids; AND
- Member demonstrates limited benefit from amplification, which is defined by test scores of less than or equal to 40% correct in the best-aided listening condition on tape-recorded tests of open-set sentence cognition; AND
- Member has cognitive ability to use auditory clues and a willingness to participate in an extended rehabilitation program; AND
- Member has freedom from middle ear infection and from lesions in the auditory nerve and acoustic areas of the central nervous system; AND
- Member has no contraindications to surgery; AND
- Member must be current on age-appropriate pneumococcal vaccinations per Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines.

Unilateral or Bilateral Implants for Children

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers unilateral or bilateral U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cochlear implants as reasonable and medically necessary for children up to age 17 when documentation confirms bilateral profound sensorineural hearing impairment, member is current on age-appropriate pneumococcal vaccinations per CDC guidelines, and hearing aids provide no benefit.

HPHC Medical Review Criteria**Cochlear Implants****Page 1 of 9**

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

Hybrid Implants for Adults

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers hybrid cochlear implant or hearing aid device that includes the hearing aid integrated into the external sound processor of the cochlear implant (e.g. Nucleus® Hybrid™ L24 Cochlear Implant System) as medically necessary when documentation confirms ALL the following criteria:

- Member is 18 years or older; AND
- Member has bilateral severe-to-profound high-frequency sensorineural hearing loss with residual low-frequency hearing sensitivity; AND
- Member has obtained limited benefit from appropriately fit bilateral hearing aids; AND
- Member must be current on age-appropriate pneumococcal disease vaccinations per CDC guidelines; AND
- Member has ANY of the following hearing thresholds:
 - Low frequency hearing threshold no poorer than 60 decibel hearing level (dbHL) up to and including 500 Hz (averaged over 125, 250, and 500 Hz) in the ear selected for implantation, OR
 - Severe to profound mid- to high-frequency hearing loss (threshold average of 2000, 3000, and 4000 Hz greater than or equal to 75 dbHL) in the ear to be implanted, OR
 - Moderately severe to profound mid- to high-frequency hearing loss (threshold average of 2000, 3000, 4000 HZ greater than or equal to 60 dbHL) in the contralateral ear, OR
 - Aided consonant-nucleus-consonant (CNC) word recognition score from 10-60% in the ear to be implanted in the preoperative aided condition and in the contralateral ear will be equal to or better than that of the ear to be implanted but not more than 80% correct.

NOTE: Special consideration of urgent cochlear implantation without a trial of hearing aids may be given to individuals with meningitis and hearing loss or radiologic evidence of cochlear ossification.

Bone-Anchored Hearing Aids

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers fully or partially implanted bone-anchored hearing aids as reasonable and medically necessary for individuals 5 years of age or older when documentation confirms the following criteria:

- Member must be current on age-appropriate pneumococcal disease vaccinations per CDC guidelines; AND
- Member has failed conventional treatment and ANY of the following:
 - For members with unilateral hearing loss, individual has conductive or mixed hearing loss and can still benefit from amplification of the sound. Pure tone average (PTA) bone conduction (BC) threshold for the indicated ear should be better than 45 dBHL (measured at 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz), OR
 - For members with bilateral hearing loss, individual has symmetrically conductive or mixed hearing loss. The difference between the left and right sides' BC thresholds should be less than 10 dB on average measured at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz, or less than 15 dB at individual frequencies, OR
 - For members with sensorineural hearing loss, individual has profound hearing loss in one ear and normal hearing in the opposite ear and cannot use an air conduction contralateral routing of signal (AC CROS). Pure tone average (PTA) air conduction (AC) threshold of the hearing ear should then be better than 20 dBHL (measured at 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz).

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers Baha® Softband as reasonable and medically necessary as an alternative to fully or partially implanted bone-anchored hearing aids for children under 5 years.

NOTE: Bone-anchored hearing aids are only covered when hearing aid coverage is included in a member's benefit plan. Refer to the member's specific benefit plan to determine if coverage applies.

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

Page 2 of 9

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

Replacement

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers the replacement of cochlear implants as medically necessary when documentation confirms ALL the following:

- The present implant has been rendered ineffective or inoperable due to either:
 - A change in member condition that the current implant is unable to accommodate OR
 - Being damaged by events outside the control of the user
- Continued use of the device is supported; AND
- Device replacement is not solely based the expiration of device warranty; AND
- Loss/damage is not attributable to abuse, sabotage, or neglect on the part of the user; AND
- The cost of replacement rather than repair is justified by the nature of damage and useful lifetime of the device; AND
- The replacement is not an additional/backup implant; AND
- The replacement implant is synonymous to the implant being replaced unless replacement has been necessitated by a change in member condition the old device is unable to accommodate.

Note: In cases where neither the make and model of the device being replaced nor directly competitive devices from other brands are available, selection of a new device must be based on meeting the requirements associated with member condition.

Exclusions: Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC) considers cochlear implants as not medically necessary for all other indications. In addition, HPHC does not cover:

- Treatment for individuals with unilateral hearing loss with or without tinnitus
- Bone-anchored hearing aids for individuals below the age of 5
- Intraoral bone conduction hearing aids
- Bone-anchored hearing aids for individuals with bilateral pure sensorineural hearing loss
- Auditory neuropathy

Supporting Information:

Hearing depends on a series of mechanical and neural processes. Sound waves captured by the outer ear produce vibrations, which the middle ear amplifies and transmits to the cochlea of the inner ear, causing sensitive cochlear hair cells to vibrate. Cochlear hair cell vibration stimulates the auditory nerve, thereby converting sound vibrations into nerve impulses, which travel along the auditory nerve pathway to the auditory cortex of the brain, where they are interpreted as sound. While hearing loss may be related to abnormalities in the sound conduction system of the outer and middle ear, most hearing deficits in newborns and the elderly result from sensorineural abnormalities, particularly cochlear hair cell loss, which limits cochlear ability to convert sound vibrations into nerve impulses. Cochlear hair cell loss may be caused by a variety of disorders and mechanisms, and cannot be remedied by any known means. This type of hearing loss has typically been treated with rehabilitation strategies involving hearing aids, vibrotactile aids, lip reading, and/or sign language, as well as speech and language therapy. Of these techniques, all assist communication ability, but only hearing aids can improve hearing. This is achieved by amplifying incoming sounds, which amplifies vibrations received by remaining cochlear hair cells. However, amplification does not replace the function of lost cochlear hair cells and often cannot provide adequate hearing in the case of severe cochlear hair cell loss. If neural elements that transmit information from the cochlea to the auditory cortex remain intact and functional, it is possible to stimulate auditory nerve impulses with a prosthetic cochlear implantation (CI) device. With CI, externally worn components, including a microphone, a speech processor, and a transmitter, capture sounds from the environment, transform sounds into electronic impulses, and send electronic impulses to an implanted receiver/stimulator, which conveys electronic impulses to

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

Page 3 of 9

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

the auditory nerve via electrodes implanted in the cochlea. By electrically stimulating the auditory nerve, CI performs the function normally performed by cochlear hair cells, thereby restoring some degree of hearing.

There are a number of well-designed case series and retrospective reviews reporting up to ten-years of data that demonstrate improved outcomes in adults and children with sensorineural hearing loss following unilateral implantation (Vincenti et al. 2014).

Bilateral implantation has been proposed for use by patients eligible for unilateral implantation to enhance hearing capability in areas not achieved by unilateral cochlear implants. Some studies suggest the use of bilateral implants can improve speech perception in quiet and noisy environments, as well as the listener's ability discriminate from which side the sound is coming, identify source position, and differentiate different talkers. Studies also suggest patients may also benefit from the summation effect that arises from hearing input from both ears (Broomfield et al. 2014).

Lammers et al. 2014 summarized the evidence on the effectiveness of bilateral cochlear implantation compared with unilateral implantation among children with sensorineural hearing loss. A total of 21 studies evaluated bilateral implantation in children, with no randomized controlled trials identified. One study demonstrated improvements in language development, although other studies found no significant improvements. The currently available evidence consists solely of cohort studies that compare a bilaterally implanted group with a unilaterally implanted control group, with only one study providing a clear description of matching techniques to reduce bias.

Kiringoda et al. 2013 summarized peer-reviewed literature to describe the range and rate of complications related to osseointegrated hearing aids in adult and pediatric individuals. The review states that after excluding articles that did not meet criteria, 20 articles were identified, comprising 2,134 patients who underwent a total of 2,310 osseointegrated implants. Complications reported in the literature were typically minor in nature. Skin reactions from Holgers Grade 2 to 4 ranged from 2.4% to 38.1%. Failure of osseointegration ranged from 0% to 18% in adult and mixed populations, and 0% to 14.3% in pediatric populations. The rate of revision surgery ranges from 1.7% to 34.5% in adult and mixed populations and 0.0% to 44.4% in pediatric patients, whereas the total rate of implant loss ranged from 1.6% to 17.4% in adult and mixed populations and from 0.0% to 25% in pediatric patients.

Nadaraja et al. 2013 performed a systematic review to compare hearing outcomes of atresiaplasty versus osseointegrated bone conduction devices (OBCD) in congenital aural atresia (CAA) patients. Results showed that of the atresiaplasty ears, 73.8% (95% CI, 62.2%-85.4%), had a SRT [speech reception threshold] less than 30 dB (338 ears), 60.3% (95% CI, 45.8%-74.8%) had a PTA [pure tone average] less than 30 dB (390 ears), and 68.9% (95% CI, 59.4%-78.3%) had an ABG [air-bone gap] less than 30 dB (852 ears). The average hearing gain was 24.1 dB (95% CI, 21.62-26.51) for 516 ears, however hearing outcomes deteriorated with time. Of OBCD patients, 95.9% (95% CI, 91.5%-100.0%) had a PTA less than 30 dB (77 ears), and 98.2% (95% CI, 94.5%-100.0%) had an ABG less than 30 dB (47 ears); the average hearing gain was 38.0 dB (95% CI, 33.14-45.22) in 100 ears.

Jansenn et al. 2012 conducted a systematic review of the outcomes of bilateral versus unilateral (BAHA) for individuals with bilateral permanent conductive hearing loss (CHL) with the goal of deriving clinically oriented insights into the advantages and disadvantages of bilateral fitting and to identify gaps in knowledge to stimulate future research. Based on their research, 628 abstracts were generated from the literature searches; 11 studies met the criteria for data extraction and analysis. All 11 studies were observational. In most studies, comparisons between unilateral and bilateral BAHA were intra-subject. Bilateral BAHA provided audiologic benefit compared to unilateral BAHA (improved thresholds for tones [2 studies], speech in quiet [5 studies] and in noise [3 studies], and improved localization/lateralization [3 studies]) and patients' perceived subjective benefit from bilateral BAHA

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

Page 4 of 9

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

(3 studies). Disadvantages of bilateral BAHAs included listening in noise in some conditions (3 studies), presumed additional cost, and presumed increase in adverse event risk.

Guidelines:

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2009) guidelines recommend unilateral cochlear implantation as an option for people with severe to profound deafness who do not receive adequate benefit from acoustic hearing aids.

Simultaneous bilateral cochlear implantation is recommended as an option for the following groups of people with severe to profound deafness who do not receive adequate benefit from acoustic hearing aids:

- Children
- Adults who are blind or who have other disabilities that increase their reliance on auditory stimuli as a primary sensory mechanism for spatial awareness.

Sequential bilateral cochlear implantation is not recommended as an option for people with severe to profound deafness.

**American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA)
Degree and Configuration of Hearing Loss**

Degree of Hearing Loss	Range of Hearing Loss (dbHL = Decibels Hearing Level)
Normal	-10 to 15 dbHL
Slight	16 to 25 dbHL
Mild	26 to 40 dbHL
Moderate	41 to 55 dbHL
Moderately Severe	56 to 70 dbHL
Severe	71 to 90 dbHL
Profound	91+ dbHL

The American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS, 2016) considers bone conduction hearing devices, including implantation of a percutaneous or transcutaneous device and use of a bone conduction oral appliance or bone conduction scalp device to be acceptable, and in many cases preferred, procedures in the treatment of conductive or mixed hearing loss and single-sided deafness when performed by a qualified otolaryngologist-head and neck surgeon. Use of these devices, which have been Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for these indications, should adhere to the restrictions and guidelines specified by the appropriate governing agency, such as the FDA in the United States and other similar regulatory agencies in countries other than the United States.

Based on the 2002 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Guidelines, members who are recipients of cochlear implants must receive age-appropriate vaccinations against pneumococcal diseases. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends the following:

- Children with cochlear implants aged <24 months should receive PCV7, as is universally recommended; children with a lapse in vaccination should be vaccinated according to the catch-up schedule issued after the PCV7 shortage resolved (4,5).
- Children aged 24--59 months with cochlear implants who have not received PCV7 should be vaccinated according to the high-risk schedule; children with a lapse in vaccination should be vaccinated according to the catch-up schedule for persons at high risk issued after the PCV7 shortage resolved (3,4). Children who have completed the PCV7 series should receive PPV23 >2 months after vaccination with PCV7 (3).
- Persons aged 5--64 years with cochlear implants should receive PPV23 according to the schedule used for persons with chronic illnesses; a single dose is indicated (6).

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

Persons planning to receive a cochlear implant should be up-to-date on age-appropriate pneumococcal vaccination >2 weeks before surgery, if possible.

Coding:

Codes are listed below for informational purposes only, and do not guarantee member coverage or provider reimbursement. The list may not be all-inclusive. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible.

CPT® Codes	Description
69930	Cochlear device implantation, with or without mastoidectomy
92601	Diagnostic analysis of cochlear implant, patient younger than 7 years of age; with programming
92602	Diagnostic analysis of cochlear implant, patient younger than 7 years of age; subsequent reprogramming
92603	Diagnostic analysis of cochlear implant, age 7 years or older; with programming
92604	Diagnostic analysis of cochlear implant, age 7 years or older; subsequent reprogramming

HCPCS Codes	Description
L8614	Cochlear device/system, includes all internal and external components
L8615	Headset/headpiece for use with cochlear implant device, replacement
L8616	Microphone for use with cochlear implant device, replacement
L8619	Cochlear implant external speech processor, replacement
L8628	Cochlear implant, external controller component, replacement

Billing Guidelines:

Member's medical records must document that services are medically necessary for the care provided. Harvard Pilgrim Health Care maintains the right to audit the services provided to our members, regardless of the participation status of the provider. All documentation must be available to HPHC upon request. Failure to produce the requested information may result in denial or retraction of payment.

References:

1. Arnoldner, C., Baumgartner, WD., Gstoettner, W., Hamzavi, J. Surgical considerations in cochlear implantation in children and adults: a review of 342 cases in Vienna. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 2005; 125(3): 228-34.
2. Beadle, EA., McKinley, DJ., Nikolopoulos, TP., Brough, J., O'Donoghue, GM., Archbold, SM. Long-term functional outcomes and academic-occupational status in implanted children after 10-14 years of cochlear implant use. *Otol Neurotol.* 2005; 26(6): 1152-60.
3. Bilateral Cochlear implantation in Adults. [Hayesinc.com/subscribers](https://www.hayesinc.com/subscribers) [via subscription only]. Accessed July 31, 2017.
4. Bilateral Cochlear implantation in Children. [Hayesinc.com/subscribers](https://www.hayesinc.com/subscribers) [via subscription only]. Accessed July 31, 2017.
5. Bond, M., Elston, J., Mealing, S., Anderson, R., Weiner, G., Taylor, R., Stein, K. Systematic reviews of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of multi-channel unilateral cochlear implants for adults. *Clin Otolaryngol.* 2010; 35(2): 87-96.

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

6. Bond, M., Mealing, S., Anderson, R., Elston, J., Weiner, G., Taylor, RS., Hoyle, M., Liu, Z., Pricew, A., Stein, K. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of cochlear implants for severe to profound deafness in children and adults: a systematic review and economic model. *Health Technol Assess.* 2009; 13(44): 1-330.
7. Brito, R., Monterio, TA., Leal, AF., et al. Surgical complications in 550 consecutive cochlear implantation. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* 2012; 78(3): 80-5.
8. Broomfield, SJ., Murphy, J., Wild, DC., Emmett, SR., O'Donoghue, GM., Writing for the UK National Pediatric CI Surgical Audit Group. Results of a prospective surgical audit of bilateral paediatric cochlear implantation in the UK. *Cochlear Implants Int.* 2014; 15(5):246-53.
9. Brown, KD., Balkany, TJ. Benefits of bilateral cochlear implantation: a review. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2007; 15(5): 315-8.
10. Colletti, V., Carner, M., Miorelli, V., Guida, M., Colletti, L., Fiorino, FG. Cochlear implantation at under 12 months; report on 10 patients. *Laryngoscope.* 2005; 115(3): 445-9.
11. Connell, SS., Balkany, TJ., Hodges, AV., Telischi, FF., Angeli, SI., Eshraghi, AA. Electrode migration after cochlear implantation. *Oto Neurotol.* 2008; 29(2): 156-9
12. Das, S., Buchman, CA. Bilateral cochlear implantation: current concepts. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2005; 13(5): 290-3.
13. Dettman, SJ., Pindere, D., Briggs, RJ., Dowell, RC., Leigh, JR. Communication development in children who receive the cochlear implant younger than 12 months: risks versus benefits. *Ear Hear.* 2007; 28(2 Suppl): 11S-18S.
14. Gaylor, JM., Raman, G., Chung, M., et al. Cochlear implantation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2013; 139(3): 265-72.
15. Hearing Amplification in Adults. UpToDate.com/login [via subscription only]. Accessed October 16, 2017.
16. Heman-Ackah, SE., Roland, JT Jr., Haynes, DS., Waltzman, SB. Pediatric cochlear implantation: candidacy evaluation, medical surgical considerations, and expanding criteria. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am.* 2012; 45(1): 41-67.
17. Janssen R, Hong P, Chadha N. Bilateral Bone-Anchored Hearing Aids for Bilateral Permanent Conductive Hearing Loss. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.* 2012;147(3):412-422. doi:10.1177/0194599812451569.
18. Kiringoda R, Lustig L. A Meta-analysis of the Complications Associated With Osseointegrated Hearing Aids. *Otology & Neurotology.* 2013;34(5):790-794. doi:10.1097/mao.0b013e318291c651.
19. Lammers M, van der Heijden G, Pourier V, Grolman W. Bilateral cochlear implantation in children: A systematic review and best-evidence synthesis. *The Laryngoscope.* 2014;124(7):1694-1699. doi:10.1002/lary.24582.
20. Lesinski-Schiedat, A., Illg, A, Heermann, R., Bertram, B., Lenarz, T. Paediatric cochlear implantation in the first and in the second year of life: A comparative study. *Cochlear Implants International.* 2004; 5, 146-159.
21. Litovsky, R, Parkinson, A., Aracoli, J., Sammeth, C. Simultaneous bilateral cochlear implantation in adults: a multicenter clinical study. *Ear Hear.* 2006; 27(6): 714-31.
22. Litovsky, RY., Johnstone, PM., Godar, S., Agrawal, S., Parkinson, A., Peters, R., Lake, J. Bilateral cochlear implants in children: localization acuity measured with minimum audible angle. *Ear Hear.* 2006; 27(1): 43-59.
23. Litovsky, RY., Johnstone, PM., Godar, SP. Benefits of bilateral cochlear implants and/or hearing aids in children. *Int J Audiol.* 2006; 45 Suppl: 78-91.
24. Lovett, RE., Kitterick, PT., Hewitt, CE., Summerfield. AQ. Bilateral or unilateral cochlear implantation for deaf children: an observational study. *Arch Dis Child.* 2010; 95(2): 107-12.
25. Murphy, J., O'Donoghue, G. Bilateral cochlear implantation: an evidence-based medicine evaluation. *Laryngoscope.* 2007; 117(8): 1412-8.
26. Nadaraja G, Gurgel R, Kim J, Chang K. Hearing Outcomes of Atresia Surgery Versus Osseointegrated Bone Conduction Device in Patients With Congenital Aural Atresia. *Otology & Neurotology.* 2013;34(8):1394-1399. doi:10.1097/mao.0b013e3182a36065.

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

Page 7 of 9

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

27. National Coverage Determination (NCD) For Cochlear Implantation (50.3). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS); 2005. Available at: [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?CALId=192&CalName=Prothrombin+Time+\(PT\)+\(Addition+of+ICD-9-CM+V58.83%2C+Encounter+for+therapeutic+drug+monitoring%2C+as+a+covered+indication\)&ExpandComments=y&CommentPeriod=0&ver=8&NCDId=245&ncdver=2&bc=BAgAAAAAgEAAAA%3D%3D&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?CALId=192&CalName=Prothrombin+Time+(PT)+(Addition+of+ICD-9-CM+V58.83%2C+Encounter+for+therapeutic+drug+monitoring%2C+as+a+covered+indication)&ExpandComments=y&CommentPeriod=0&ver=8&NCDId=245&ncdver=2&bc=BAgAAAAAgEAAAA%3D%3D&). Accessed October 17, 2017.
28. Neuman, AC., Haravon, A., Sislian, N., Waltzman, SB. Sound-direction identification with bilateral cochlear implants. *Ear Hear.* 2004; 28(1): 73-82.
29. Niparko, JK., Tobey, EA., Thal, DJ., Eisenberg, LS., et al; CDAI Investigative Team. Spoken language development in children following cochlear implantation. *JAMA.* 2010; 303(15): 1498-506.
30. Nucleus Hybrid L24 Cochlear Implant System. Hayesinc.com/subscribers [via subscription only]. Accessed October 16, 2017.
31. Pneumococcal Vaccination for Cochlear Implant Candidates and Recipients: Updated Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. Cdcgov. 2002. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/m2e731a1.htm>. Accessed March 19, 2018.
32. Scherf, F., van Deun, L., van Wierngen, A., Wouters, J., Desloovere, C., Dhooge, I., Offeciers, E., Deggouj N., De Raeve, L., De Bodt, M., Van de Heyning, PH. Hearing benefits of second-side cochlear implantation in two groups of children. *Int J Pediatr Otolaryngol.* 2007; 71(12): 1855-63.
33. Sparreboom, M., Snik, AF., Mylanus, EA. Sequential bilateral cochlear implantation in children: quality of life. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2012; 138(2): 134-41.
34. Sparreboom, M., van Schoonhoven, J., van Zanten, BG., Scholten, RJ., Mylanus, EA., Grolman, W., Maat, B. The effectiveness of bilateral cochlear implants for severe to profound deafness in children: a systematic review. *Otol Neurotol.* 2010; 31(7): 1062-71.
35. Strom-Roum, H., Laurent, C., Wie, OB. Comparison of bilateral and unilateral cochlear implants in children with sequential surgery. *Int J Pediatr Otolaryngol.* 2012; 76(1): 95-9.
36. Tyler, RS., Gantz, BJ., Rubinstein, JT., Wilson, BS., Parkinson, AJ., Wolaver, A., Preece, FP., Witt, S., Lowder, MW. Three-month results with bilateral cochlear implants. *Ear Hear.* 2002; 23(1Supple): 80S-89S.
37. Type, Degree, And Configuration of Hearing Loss. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA); 2015. Available at: <https://www.asha.org/uploadedFiles/AIS-Hearing-Loss-Types-Degree-Configuration.pdf>. Accessed October 17, 2017.
38. Uziel, AS., Sillon, M., Vieu, A., Artieres, F., Prion, JP., Daures, FP., Mondain, M. Ten-year follow-up of a consecutive series of children with multi-channel cochlear implants. *Otol Neurotol.* 2007; 28(5): 615-28.
39. Valencia, DM., Rimell, FL, Friedman, BH., Oblander, MR., Helmbrecht, J. Cochlear implantation in infants less than 12 months of age. *Int J Pediatr Otolaryngol.* 2008; 72(6): 767-73.
40. Vincenti, V., Bacciu, A., Guida, M., Marra, F., Bertoldi, B., Bacciu, S., Pasanisi, E. Pediatric cochlear implantation: an update. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2014; 40:72.

Summary of Changes:

Date	Changes:
2/18	Policy coverage criteria refined; Unilateral, bilateral and hybrid implants now require prior authorization

Approved by Medical Policy Review Committee: 2/28/18

Reviewed/Revised: 2/18

Initiated: 2/18

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.

HPHC Medical Review Criteria

Cochlear Implants

Page 9 of 9

HPHC policies are based on medical science, and written to apply to the majority of people with a given condition. Individual members' unique clinical circumstances, and capabilities of the local delivery system are considered when making individual UM determinations.

Coverage described in this policy is standard under most HPHC plans. Specific benefits may vary by product and/or employer group. Please reference appropriate member materials (e.g., Benefit Handbook, Certificate of Coverage) for member-specific benefit information.